

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/658,335

Applicant(s)

SHIMOYAMA ET AL.

Examiner

DUC Q. DINH

Art Unit

2629

**All Participants:**(1) DUC Q. DINH.(2) DANIEL GLUECK.Date of Interview: 23 April 2007**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2,3,7 AND 17

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Correcting the antecedent's basis for the limitation "real space" of claims 2, 3, 7 and 17.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) \_\_\_\_\_